G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Pol. 110-98

1899-1900

191 .

(Police)

File No. 90 of 99-0

Serial Nos. 1 to 5 .

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 5

Major **Part B for** Feb. 01.

SUBJECT.

Retention of the prosecuting Inspector at Chickballapur.

1. From the D.G. of Pol. 12. 8 99.

No. 1613/130 - Reports that Sub. Div. off of Chickballapur was instructed to abolish the Sub-Div. Police office & requests sanction for the retention of the Prosecuting Inspector at Chickballapur.

7. 3. 00.

2. To

GPB—3,500—21-7-14

The Comptroller

No. 10418-9/977 Sanctions the ab

Requests to furnish the

with Statistics of this work ever since his entertainment, as regards the no: of cases he had to prosecute &c —.

3. From the D.G of Ord: 27.4.00.
No: 3805.
330
Submits the information called for in the above.

4. From — " — . 19.1.01.
No: 2479
274
Forwards copy of a letter No: 133 d/8th inst. from the Dy Comr & Kolar D'in & states that there is not sufficient work for a prosecuting Inspector at Chickballapur.

5. To — " — , 26.2.01.
"Comptroller
No: 1129/1-2
1189
Observes that there is admittedly no sufficient work for the temporary prosecuting Inspector at Chickballapur & abolishes the appointment of the same.

Indexed. B Srinivasa Rao.

16-10-99

1899

(9)

From Police

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

FILE No. 9022-99

To

No. 1.

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 12th } October 1899.
Despatched 13th }
Received 18/10
General No. 1613
No. 130

Enclosure No.

Reporting that Sub Division officer Chickballapur was instructed to abolish the Sub Dn Police office and requesting to obtain Sanction of Government for the retention of the Prosecuting Inspector at Chickballapur.

Pending orders on the ~~the last reference~~ 2 G.O. letters dt 11-6-98 and 29-5-99, the further temporary retention of the Prosecuting Inspector mentioned may be authorised, Arts being next issued by the Secretary for Power of said orders.

28-10-99

2362

does not the retention of Prosecutor, as now proposed for an indefinite period fall under Power in the scheme for the reorganisation of the Police, require the sanction of Council. If so submit to Govt.

28-10-99

I could not answer this question if I had before me the papers which led to the passing of Govt Pro: No 8782-83 dt 27/11/83 Why did Govt the Prosecutor Insp: 29-10-99

judl
14/10/99

Revl Branch.

Please put up your quoted written vol. 18.

Judl Br

It was ordered & Secy.
HS 17/10/99

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 1613/130 Dated Bangalore 12/13a October 1899

Enc. ——

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to Government Notification No. 2671/R838 dated 27th ultimo I have the honor to state that the Sub Division Officer was instructed on the 5th instant by wire to abolish the Office and to return the Deputy Munshi

to

to his former appointment at Kolar with the Police records. The Deputy Commissioner has also been requested to report on the desirability or otherwise of the retention of the Reserve Police of 2 Duffedars and 12 Constables sanctioned in Government Proceedings No. * 8782-83 dated 27th January 1899.

989- 2. * Conf. J 789 91-2 No. 110 of 98-99. With regard to the Prosecuting Inspector attached to the Sub Division, I beg to state that this is a somewhat troublesome Sub Division the criminal cases being hotly contested. The Police Officers of Chickballapur Taluk are hard worked and have no time to prosecute cases from the other Taluks and it is found very inconvenient for the Police Officers of the latter Taluks to attend to the work. Moreover the Sub Division Officer being the Divisional

Magistrate

Magistrate has to exercise some check
in the work of the Police in his
Sub Division and a ministerial Officer
to see the Prosecuting Inspector will be
of material help. It is not therefore
desirable to abolish this appointment
and I request that you will be so
good as to obtain sanction of Government
for his retention pending the submission
of the scheme for the reorganization of
the Police.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

K. Mawdy
for Inspector General of Police

Read:

(12)

Letters No. 1613/130 dated 12th October 1899 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, ~~stating that the criminal cases in~~ the Chikballapur Sub Dn. are hotly contested, that the Police officers of the Sub Dn. are hard worked and cannot conveniently attend to the prosecution work, that the Sub. Dn. officer being the Divisional Magte has to exercise some check on the work of the Police in his Sub Dn. and a ministerial officer to be the prosecuting Inspector will be of material help ~~and requesting under~~ recommending, for reasons stated, ~~these circumstances sanction of Govt for the~~ the further retention, pending the submission of the scheme for the reorganization of the Police, of the prosecuting Inspector, temporarily

Sanctd

Chickballapur
Sanctn for the Sub Dvn inb
G.Ors: Nos 8782-83 dt: 27th
J 989
Jany 1899.

Order therein No: 10118 & 7-3-1900
J 997

Under the circs
represented in the letter
read above, the retention
of the prosecuting Inspector
of the Chickballapur Sub Dvn
temporarily for another
year is Sanctn, and the
I. Gl of Police is requested
to furnish this office
with Statistics of his work
ever since his entertainment,
as regards the nos of cases he
had to prosecute and with
what result tea.

1st Cr's order]

6/3/1900
for Secretary.

To The I. Gl of Police in Mysore
The Comptroller in Mysore

7 J/120



(13)

Pol. — From

207 — 28-27

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

3

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated

Despatched 27 April 1900.

Received

General No. 3805

No. 330

Enclosure No. one

Submitting a statement showing the number of cases prosecuted by the Prosecuting Inspector of Chickballapur together with the result obtained from Feb to June 1899 & July to Sept 1899-1900.

The papers on the subject were submitted to Dewan on 10/3/00 vide Note

DM 5/5

Let them be filed
file 9 Submitted
Ell

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN [illegible] E.

No. 3805 / 330 Dated Bangalore, April 1900.

Enc. one 1

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No: 10118 / 997 dated 7th March 1900 Sanctioning the retention of the Prosecution Inspector of Chikballapur for a year and requesting to be furnished with statistics of the work done by him since his entertainment, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement shewing the number of cases prosecuted by him together with the results obtained.

I

It will be seen that 15 out of 17 cases and 13 out of 15 cases were successfully prosecuted to Conviction by the Prosecuting Inspector from February to June in 1898 (÷) 1899 and July to September in 1899/1900 respectively.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Arumugasamy,
Inspector General of Police.

Statement showing the number of cases prosecuted by the P
February to June 1888 and from July to the End of Sept



Serial No	Class	Section of Indian Penal Code	Descriptive Name of the Offence
			Cognizable case
18	II	309	Attempt to commit Suicide
20	II	326	Grievous hurt
28	II	354	Criminal force to outrage the modesty of a w
do	do	353	—— do —— to a public Servant
33	III	393	Robbery
35	do	457/380	House Breaking & Theft.
42	V	453, 456 & 380	Lurking House Trespass or House-Breaking a
44	do	379 & 382	Theft ordinary
45	do	411	Receiving Stolen Property
49	VI	110 C.P.C	Vagrancy & Bad character
57	do	269	Public & local nuisances
			Non-Cognizable cases.
3	I	204, 188 & 224	Offences against Public Justice.
5	do	195	False evidence — False complaints &c.
6	do	465	Forgery or fraudulently using forged doc
7	do	265	Offences relating to weighing and measuring

V.B. Total number Prosecuted.	Convicted	Acquitted
32	28	4

"Copy"

rosecuting Inspector, Chikballapur Sub-Division, from
ember 1898-1900.

(13/a)

	No. of cases prosecuted		Results. Convicted		Acquitted or Discharged		Remarks
	1898-1899	1899-1900	1898-1899	1899-1900	1898-1899	1899-1900	
	1	-	1	-	-	-	
	-	1	-	1	-	-	
man	2	-	2	-	-	-	
	1	-	1	-	-	-	
	1	-	1	-	-	-	
	5	1	4	1	1	-	
Shepth.	2	-	2	-	-	-	
	-	7	-	7	-	-	
	-	1	-	1	-	-	
	-	2	-	2	-	-	Bound over
	1	-	1	-	-	-	
Total.	13	12	12	12	1	-	
	3	-	3	-	-	-	
	-	1	-	1	-	-	
nants.	1	-	-	-	1	-	
	-	2	-	-	-	2	
Total	4	3	3	1	1	2	
Grand Total.	17	15	15	13	2	2	

(Signed) C. Krishnarow

For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

K Chandy
Inspector General of Police

Sub. & Secy.

1903.

OFFICE
189 8028
23-1-01.
MYSORE

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

K. I. No. 4.

Dated

Despatched 19 January 1901.

Received

General No. 2699/274
No.

Enclosure No. one.

In continuation of this office No 3805/330 dy 27th April 1900 forwarding copy of letter No 133 dy 3 instant from the Dy Commr Kolar regarding the Proceeding Inspector at Chickballapur.

Put
22-1-01
Recd 25/1
J.F No 44 of 1898-99 pasted
DM
30-1-01.

Submitted for orders.

DMM
5/2

13

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 2479/274 Bangalore Dated 19 January 1901

Enc. one

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter no. 3805/330 dated 27th April 1900, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter no. 133 dated 3rd instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, and to state that there is not sufficient work for a Prosecuting Inspector at Chickballapur; and as the Police Sub-Division which had been temporarily formed at Chickballapur has been abolished, the Prosecuting Inspector may be employed in prosecuting cases before the Sub-Division Officer, Chickballapur

* the office and the † Sub Division office stationed at Chintamani. The distance between the two stations is not very great, and if the two magistrates only post their magisterial cases to suit the convenience of the Inspector, he should be able to appear in all of them and will have sufficient work.

* the office of the Dist. Superintendent in charge

† is

Chintamani.

Siddaghatta & Srinivaspur

Tahsildars

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

G Hunter Wright

For Inspector General of Police

LS — Enclosure 27th D 19-1-1901

~~189~~ 1901

From The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District. (15/b)

To The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

Dated 8th

Despatched 11th January 1901

Received

General No. 133

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. 10118 J.997 dated 7th March 1900, I have the honor to state that considering the small number of cases prosecuted by the Prosecuting Inspector of the Chickballapur Sub-Division, viz 12 cognizable and 15 noncognizable cases, during the year 1899 - a number which has probably not been greatly exceeded in 1900 — I am of opinion that his retention at Chickballapur

is wholly unnecessary – On the other hand I consider that his services might be with advantage transferred to Head quarters at Kolar, where he could be utilised in prosecuting any important cases throughout the District.

I have etc,
(Sd) C. Narayana Rao
for Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

"Copy"

for Inspector G

Draft noted for attn C
7 of 99.
S Read

(17)

Letter no 3805/330 of
the 27th April 1900
from the I. General
of police in Mysore
submitting as required by
Govt in their Pro: no 10118/1997
dated the 7th march 1900
a statement showing
with results
the no of cases prosecuted
by the temporary
by the prosecuting Inspector of Chick-
ballapur.

also letter no 2479/274 dated
the 19th January 1901 from
the same officer.
stating that ~~there is~~
~~not sufficient work~~
~~for a prosecuting~~
Insp

from Febr to June
in 1898-1899 and from
July to Sept in
1899-1900.

Pl see
orders
HK 25/2/01

Inspector at Chikballapur
Division
which has been
temporarily formed
at Chikballapur
has been abolished
there is not
sufficient work for a
Prosecuting Inspector
at that place

Inspector at Chikballapur
spoke as the Police Sub-
Division at Chikballapur
therefore has been
abolished, and suggesting
that he may be
employed in prosecuting
cases before the Sub-
Division officer,
Chikballapur & the
Divisional
Sub-Div officer stationed at Chintamani.

Order thereon - No. 1291-92 / J. 1189 / 26-2-1901

The Govt observe
that there is admittedly
no sufficient work
for the temporary
Prosecuting Inspector

at Chickballapur. ~~He~~
~~recommended~~ written the Deputy Commissioner's proposal that he be stationed at Kolar and be sent out anywhere in the District for prosecuting ~~important~~ important cases as occasions may arise, ~~Not~~ nor the I. G's recommendation that he be charged with the duty of prosecuting cases both before the Sub-division ~~Officers~~ Officers may be at Chickballapur and

and before the Asst.
in charge of
Commr. at Chintamani
and two others

Talks ~~were held~~ ~~do not~~
justify the retention of an official who was temporarily appointed for a specific duty which no longer requires his services and Govt. ~~therefore as such~~ ~~ending~~ direct that the temporary appointment of the P. Inspector ~~of Police~~ be abolished with effect from 25/2/01 the date of the expiry of the temporary period sanctioned in the proceedings referred to above.

Secy:

To:
The I. Gl. of Police in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Govt. of Mysore.

MK 22/2